

REMARKS

This reply encompasses a bona fide attempt to address the rejections raised by the Office Action and presents amendments as well as reasons why the Applicant(s) believe that the claimed invention is novel and unobvious over the closest prior art of record,
5 thereby placing the present application in a condition for allowance.

Regarding Claim Status

Claims 1, 4-25, 30-38, and 41-52 were examined.

Claims 1, 4-25, 30, 31, 33-38, 41-49, 51 and 52 were rejected.

10 Claims 32 and 50 were objected to.

Claims 1-52 are canceled.

Claims 53-70 are new. No new matter is added.

Regarding the Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 101

15 The Office Action has rejected claims 36-37 and 53-70 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The Applicant has amended claims 53-70 to overcome the non-statutory subject matter, therefore these rejections are now moot.

20

Regarding the Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112

The Office Action has rejected claims 53-70 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim subject matter, which the applicant regards as the invention

are withdrawn in view of amendments filed by applicants to the instant claims filed 14 April 2008.

The Applicant has amended claims 53-70 to remove the indefinite language, therefore

- 5 these rejections are now moot.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the invention is novel and unobvious over the relevant prior art of record. This Reply is submitted to be complete and proper in that it places the present application in a condition for allowance without
5 adding new matter. Favorable consideration and a Notice of Allowance of all pending claims 53-70 are therefore respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
10 / James Parris / Reg. No. 51,135

James Parris
Reg. No. 51,135
15 LUMEN Intellectual Property Services
2345 Yale Street, 2nd Floor
Palo Alto, CA 94306-1429
Phone: (650) 424-0100
Fax: (650) 424-0141
20